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ABSTRACT

The study was conducted to examine the influence of independent variables on overall extent of adoption of improved boro paddy cultivation practices in Biswanath sub-division, Sonitpur district of Assam. Ex-post-facto research design was followed. Data were collected by means of personal interview schedule. A total of 120 farmers were selected as sample respondents by following random sampling technique. Karl Pearson’s product moment coefficient of correlation, Multiple Regression and ‘t’-test were the statistical techniques used for the analysis of data. The findings reveals that socio-economic factors viz ‘type of family’, ‘size of operational land holding’, ‘annual income’, ‘occupational status’, ‘mass media exposure’, ‘extension contact’, ‘social participation’ and ‘economic motivation’ were found positive and significant relationship with extent of adoption while ‘risk preference’ had significant but negative correlation. The selected socio economic variables contributed 61% (adjusted $R^2 = 61\%$) to express the adoption behavior of farmers with respect to boro paddy cultivation.
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INTRODUCTION

In Assam boro (summer rice) and early ahu (autumn rice) are grown in drier season and expansions of areas under these crops are the key to raise the productivity of rice in the state. Boro is the most productive season for growing rice in Assam as the sky remains clear during crop growing period and is risk-free so far as flood and drought are concerned. Farmers are encouraged to take up its cultivation in the season when irrigation facilities are available. However, the main environmental factor limiting boro paddy cultivation is the cold stress. Minimum temperature falls down to as low as 100 °C in the vegetative stage and 150 °C during panicle initiation stage that are detrimental for obtaining potential yield. The effect of cold is more severe in the districts of Upper Assam (Golaghat, Jorhat, Sivasagar, Dibrugarh, Tinsukia). Therefore, boro paddy is traditionally not grown in these districts. Of the state’s total area of 2.5 million ha under rice, boro paddy covers only about 0.15 million ha (Anon., 1993). Area, production and average yield of boro paddy in Assam during 2008-09 was 3.60 lakh ha, 7.71 lakh MT and 2,142 kg/ha (Anon., 2008-09) and during 2012-13 was 3.93 lakh ha, 11.64 lakh MT and 2,965 kg/ha (Anon., 2014). The spread of the newer varieties replacing the older varieties need to be closely monitored to take the advantage of the superior characters these newer varieties released by various research institutions. This will help to break the yield plateau that has been experiencing in rice crop in the recent past and to increase the production and productivity of the crop. Achievement of boro paddy in Assam during 2014-15 was 4.16 lakh ha, 12.24 lakh MT.
and 2,940 kg/ha in terms of area, production and yield.

**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

The present study was carried out in Biswanath sub-division of Sonitpur district of Assam because the acreage of boro paddy cultivation under Biswanath sub-division was 1,664 hectares and the production and productivity were 7,409.80 MT and 4,453 kg/ha respectively (Anonymous, 2014-15). Two development blocks namely Biswanath and Baghmara development block were selected randomly. Six villages were selected from the two selected development block namely Niz-Biswanath, Kumolia, Swaguri, Rotowa, Kherbari, Behapukhuri. A total of 120 farmers were selected as respondents for the study by following proportionate random sampling technique.

The dependent variable of the study was extent of adoption on improved practices of boro paddy cultivation. Measurement procedure for measuring extent of adoption was followed in the light of the procedure used by Baruah (2004). Eleven independent variables were selected for the study viz., age, educational level, type of family, size of operational land holding, annual income, occupational status, mass media exposure, extension contact, social participation, risk preference and economic motivation. The independent variables i.e. age, annual income, mass media exposure, extension contact, social participation were measured with the help of structured schedule. The other independent variables i.e. educational level, type of family, size of operational land holding, occupational status, risk preference, and economic motivation were measured with the help of established scale already suggested by different authors.

To measure the relationship between the variables, ‘Karl Pearson’s product moment co-efficient of correlation’ was worked out. Significance of correlation co-efficient was tested with the help of ‘t’-test.

‘Multiple regression analysis’ was employed to find out the combined influence of the selected set of independent variables on the dependent variable.

**FINDINGS**

**Socio Economic Profile**

The Table 1.1 reveals that age of the respondents had no significant relationship with the overall extent of adoption. Therefore, the null hypothesis (H₀₁), i.e. there is no significant relationship between the age of the farmers and their extent of adoption could not be rejected. Similar kind of findings were also reported by Hussain (1982), Ingale (1983), Sawant (1984), Borpuzari (1987), Gogoi (1989), Islam (2007) and Bhardwaj et al. (2015).

Educational level of the respondents had no significant relationship with the overall extent of adoption. Therefore, the null hypothesis (H₀₂), i.e. there is no significant relationship between the education level of the farmers and their extent of adoption could not be rejected. Similar kind of finding was reported by Patil (1988).

The findings of the correlation analysis also showed that family type of the respondents was found to be positively and significantly correlated with the extent of adoption. It indicated that the extent of adoption was more with the farmers with the joint family type. Hence, the null hypothesis (H₀₃) that there is no significant relationship between the family type of the farmers and their extent of adoption was rejected. It might be due to the fact that the joint family type of a farmer helped in earning more annual income as well as material support, developing confidence in them for more extent of adoption of improved practices of boro paddy cultivation. The result of this study was supported by Ahir (1985).
Operational land holding of the respondents on boro paddy had a positive and significant relationship with the extent of adoption. This indicated that more the size of operational land holding of a respondent, the better was the extent of adoption. Hence, the null hypothesis ($H_04$), i.e. there is no significant relationship between the operational land holding of the farmers and their extent of adoption was rejected. Operational land holding of a farmer might help in earning more annual income and developing more confidence to the farmers for more extent of adoption of improved practices of boro paddy cultivation. The results of this study were supported by Ingale (1983), Sawant (1984), Kesarkar (1985), Sarkar et al. (2003), Singha and Baruah (2011), Bhardwaj et al. (2015).

Table 1.1: Correlation Coefficient between Independent Variables and Overall Extent of Adoption of Improved Boro Paddy Cultivation Practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variables</th>
<th>'R' Value</th>
<th>'T' Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>1.596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>1.427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of family</td>
<td>0.184*</td>
<td>2.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of operational land holding</td>
<td>0.399*</td>
<td>4.734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual income</td>
<td>0.413*</td>
<td>4.930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational status</td>
<td>0.433*</td>
<td>5.230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass media exposure</td>
<td>0.564*</td>
<td>7.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension contact</td>
<td>0.572*</td>
<td>7.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social participation</td>
<td>0.339*</td>
<td>3.925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk preference</td>
<td>-0.445*</td>
<td>5.399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic motivation</td>
<td>0.332*</td>
<td>3.826</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at 0.05 level probability

Annual income was found to have a positive and significant relationship with the extent of adoption. This revealed that more the annual income of a farmer, the better was the extent of adoption. Hence, the null hypothesis ($H_05$), i.e. there is no significant relationship between the annual income of the farmers and their extent of adoption was rejected. This finding goes in line with the findings of Ingale (1983), Kesarkar (1985), Ahir (1985), Islam (2007), Salehin et al. (2009), Prasad and Choudhary (2010), Shah et al. (2014), Bhardwaj et al. (2015) and Chowdhury et al. (2016). The reason behind this positive relationship might be due to the fact that more annual income of a farmer helped in developing confidence in them for taking more risk which lead to more extent of adoption of improved practices of boro paddy cultivation.

Occupational status was found to have a positive and significant relationship with the extent of adoption. This indicated that higher the occupational status, the better was the extent of adoption. Hence, the null hypothesis ($H_06$), i.e. there is no significant relationship between the occupational status of the farmers and their extent of adoption was rejected. This indicated that higher occupational status could increase yield and income and could undertake risk bearing task for adoption of improved boro paddy cultivation practices. Similar kind of findings was also reported by Sawant (1984), Kesarkar (1985), Dhote (1994) and Bhardwaj et al. (2015).

Mass media exposure was found to possess a positive and significant relationship with the extent of adoption. This revealed that more the mass media exposure of a respondent, the better was the extent of adoption. Hence, the null hypothesis ($H_07$), i.e. there is no significant relationship between the mass media exposure of the farmers and their extent of adoption was rejected. This finding goes in line with the findings of Kumar et al. (2014). The reason behind this positive relationship might be due to the fact that the more a farmer uses different sources to access information on farming, the
more he becomes aware of gathers knowledge, develops proper perception on improved practices *boro* paddy cultivation, etc. and all these effects his extent of adoption in a positive manner.

The findings of the correlation analysis also showed that extension contact of the respondents was positively and significantly correlated with the extent of adoption. It indicated that more the extension contact more was the extent of adoption. Hence, the null hypothesis ($H_0$) that there is no significant relationship between the extension contact of the farmers and their extent of adoption was rejected. This might be due to the fact that more contacts with the extension personnel helped them in acquiring more information as well as material support, developing confidence in them and thereby increasing the acceptability of improved practices of *boro* paddy cultivation. Similar kinds of findings were also reported by Dhote (1994), Islam (2007), Singha and Baruah (2011), Kumar *et al.* (2014) and Chowdhury *et al.* (2016).

Social participation was found to have a positive and significant relationship with the extent of adoption. This indicated that more the social participation, the better was the extent of adoption. Hence, the null hypothesis ($H_0$), i.e. there is no significant relationship between the social participation of the farmers and their extent of adoption was rejected. Social participation helps in getting opportunity for interaction with other members to be aware of such practices along with other information and also getting mutual help in acquiring resources etc. Similar findings were also reported by Ingale (1983), Sawant (1984), Kesarkar (1985), Dhote (1994), Kumar *et al.* (2014) and Bhardwaj *et al.* (2015).

Risk preference of the respondents on *boro* paddy had a negative and significant relationship with the extent of adoption. This indicated that lower the risk preference, higher was the extent of adoption. Hence, the null hypothesis ($H_0$), i.e. there is no significant relationship between the risk preference of the farmers and their extent of adoption was rejected. It might be due to the fact that lower the risk preference more was the chance in making a big profit which led to more extent of adoption of improved practices of *boro* paddy cultivation.

Economic motivation of the respondents had a positive and significant relationship with the extent of adoption. This indicates that higher the economic motivation, the better was the extent of adoption. Hence, the null hypothesis ($H_0$), i.e. there is no significant relationship between the economic motivation of the farmers and their extent of adoption was rejected. It might be due to the fact that economic motivation motivates a farmer to adopt modern ways of farming developing positive attitude towards modern agricultural techniques. Similar kind of finding was also reported by Kumar *et al.* (2014).

**Influence of the Independent Variables to the Dependent Variable**

Regression analysis was employed to determine the combined effect of independent variables on the dependent variable. For regression analysis 8 independent variables were selected which had the significant relationship with the extent of adoption.

Data presented in Table 1.2 revealed that out of 8 independent variables, occupational status, mass media exposure, extension contact, risk preference and economic motivation had significant effect on overall extent of adoption, the regression coefficients being $b=0.631$, $b=0.208$, $b=0.318$, $b=-0.236$, and $b=0.282$ respectively. The $R^2$ value was 0.642, which indicating that the variables jointly contributed 64.20 per cent towards variation in extent of adoption of *boro* paddy cultivation. Further, the variables whose regression coefficient values ($b$) were found to be significant (occupational status, mass media exposure, extension contact, risk preference and economic motivation) could be termed as good predictors of extent of adoption.
### Table 1.2: Influence of the Selected Independent Variables on Overall Extent of Adoption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>B Value</th>
<th>‘T’ Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of family</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>0.787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of operational land holding</td>
<td>0.323</td>
<td>1.601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational status</td>
<td>0.631*</td>
<td>2.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass media exposure</td>
<td>0.208**</td>
<td>2.865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension contact</td>
<td>0.318**</td>
<td>2.869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social participation</td>
<td>-0.258</td>
<td>-0.531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk preference</td>
<td>-0.236**</td>
<td>-4.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic motivation</td>
<td>0.282**</td>
<td>3.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R^2 )</td>
<td>0.642</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted ( R^2 )</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F value</td>
<td>24.914</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at 0.05 level probability (b= estimated regression co-efficient)**Significant at 0.01 level probability

**CONCLUSIONS**

The findings implies that, except age and educational level, most of the variables under study such as type of family, size of operational land holding, annual income, occupational status, mass media exposure, extension contact, social participation, risk preference and economic motivation has significant impacts on extent of adoption of improved cultivation practices of *boro* paddy as evident by their significant’ values of simple correlations. So, there is a need for the extension agencies and other concern departments to positively manipulate these crucial factors in order to bring about desirable changes in the adoption of improved practices of *boro* paddy cultivation.
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